arXiv:1204.2395v1 [gr-qc] 11 Apr 2012

Hidden Supersymmetry in Dirac Fermion Quasinormal Modes of Black Holes

V. K. Oikonomou[*]
Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences
Inselstrasse 22, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

March 2, 2013

Abstract

We connect the quasinormal modes corresponding to Dirac fermions in various black holes backgrounds to an $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics algebra, which can be constructed from the radial part of the fermionic solutions of the Dirac equation. In the massless fermion case, the quasinormal modes are in bijective correspondence with the zero modes of the fermionic system and this results to unbroken supersymmetry. The massive case is more evolved but as we prove, supersymmetry remains unbroken even in this case.

Introduction

Black holes in equilibrium are generally speaking, simple objects due to the very few parameters that are needed to describe them [1–4]. However it is physically impossible to have an isolated black hole in nature, due to the fact that matter always exists around them, interacting directly with the black hole. This leads us to the conclusion that a black hole is always in a some sort of perturbed state, with more parameters to describe it, than in the unperturbed state. The perturbation of a black hole results to the emission of gravitational waves. Quasinormal modes [1–32] describe a long period of damped proper oscillations of gravitational waves, and are extremely important in various physical phenomena. The direct observation of black holes is actually based on quasinormal modes, with the most dominating one being the fundamental mode, namely the lowest frequency in the spectrum. Supermassive, intermediate mass and stellar mass black holes are essential to the observation of astrophysical phenomena. In four dimensions, the only solution of the Einstein equations, that describes a stationary rotational black hole is the Kerr metric. The quasinormal modes are the characteristic sound of black holes and being such, matter field perturbations of such extreme gravitational backgrounds can reveal many important properties of black holes. In addition they depend only on the mass, angular momentum and charge of the black holes thus enabling us to directly identify the spacetime

---

[*] Vasilis.Oikonomou@mis.mpg.de

parameters. The field perturbation of a black hole consists of three time evolution stages, namely the wave burst originating directly from the source, the quasinormal mode stage and the power-law tail [27]. Research in the area of quasinormal modes of black-holes has become quite scientifically compelling the last decade [28]. This is due to the vast number of applications and implications of many theoretical frameworks that incorporate quasinormal modes. Firstly the existence of a black hole can be directly verified by observing it's fundamental quasinormal mode. Additionally the thermodynamic properties of loop quantum gravity (an appealing alternative to string theory) black holes can be further understood using the quasinormal modes (the imaginary part of the quasinormal modes of the scalar field perturbation is equal to the Barbero-Immirzi parameter [26], see and references therein). Moreover the quasinormal modes of anti de Sitter black holes have a dual physical correspondence to quantities of the dual conformal field theory via the well known AdS/CFT correspondence [33]. From astrophysical aspects, the most interesting spacetimes are the asymptotically flat ones, however the observation of the universe's expansion motivated the study of quasinormal modes in de Sitter and anti de Sitter space times [30]. Quasinormal modes can yield which gravitational systems are stable under dynamical perturbations. Actually a static or non-static solution describing a compact object is stable if all it's quasinormal modes are decaying in time, on the contrary even if one mode is growing, the gravitational system is unstable [4].

The perturbation of a black hole can be achieved either by directly perturbing the gravitational background or by simply adding matter or gauge fields in the black hole spacetime [4]. In this paper we shall use the later approach, in the linear approximation, which suggests that the field has no back-reaction on the metric. Particularly, we shall study Dirac fermion systems around various black hole environments and study when the system possesses an unbroken hidden supersymmetry. Supersymmetry has been connected to quasinormal modes spectra in the past, but in a different context [34–38]. Most of these works studied bosonic quasinormal modes and their relation to supersymmetry. We study the zero modes of the fermionic system and directly relate these to the quasinormal modes. As we shall see, the zero modes and quasinormal modes have a bijective correspondence, a fact that can actually be very crucial for supersymmetry to be unbroken. The specific type of supersymmetry that we found to be related to the fermion system is an $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics with zero central charge. The aforementioned supersymmetry is inherent to many gravitational systems [39, 40]. The problem of calculating the fermionic quasinormal modes can be very difficult. Many well known numerical techniques can be used [4, 25–32]. It is therefore useful to have a complete picture of the quasinormal spectrum and related issues regarding Dirac spectral problems in various gravitational backgrounds. This paper studies supersymmetries of extreme gravitational backgrounds and relates the most possible observable quantities, the quasinormal modes, to the corresponding Witten index of the supersymmetric algebra.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 1, we present in brief the most necessary tools of the $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra and related issues, that will be needed in the rest of the paper. In section 2, we study a massless Dirac fermion in a Kerr gravitational background. Since the Kerr solution is the most physically interesting black hole satisfying the Einstein's equations we shall study this in great detail. In section 3, we study the massless and massive Dirac fermion in Kerr-Newman, Reisnerr-Nördstrom and Schwarzschild gravitational backgrounds. The massive case proves to be much more evolved compared to the massless case, but still, supersymmetry remains unbroken. In section 4, we repeat the calculation for the D-dimensional de Sitter spacetime and Kerr-Newman-de Sitter spacetimes, finding the same results as in the previous sections. In section 5 we present our conclusions along with a brief discussion on some supersymmetry implications for the fermion system.

1 Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics

In order to render the article self contained, we briefly review the $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics [41–44] algebra formalism. For more details we refer the reader to [41–44].

Let H be the self-adjoint Hamiltonian operator describing a quantum system. This quantum system is supposed to be characterized by the self-adjoint operators $\{Q_1, ..., Q_N\}$. The resulting quantum mechanical system is considered to be supersymmetric, if,

$$\{Q_i, Q_j\} = H\delta_{i\,j} \tag{1}$$

with $i = 1, 2, ...N$. The Q_i are the corresponding supercharges and the Hamiltonian "H" is supersymmetric (abbreviated from now on, "susy") Hamiltonian. Therefore, relation (1) describes the N-extended supersymmetry. The anti-commutativity of the supercharges results to the following relations,

$$H = 2Q_1^2 = 2Q_2^2 = \ldots = 2Q_N^2 = \frac{2}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2. \tag{2}$$

A supersymmetric quantum system has unbroken supersymmetry, if $E_0 = 0$. However, when its ground state does not vanish, that is $E_0 > 0$, susy is broken.

Making contact with the underlying Hilbert space, susy is unbroken, when

$$Q_i|\psi_0\rangle = 0. \tag{3}$$

In the above, $|\psi_0\rangle$, denote the ground states. In the special case $N = 2$, the equation (2) can be written,

$$\{Q_1, Q_2\} = 0, \;\; H = 2Q_1^2 = 2Q_2^2 = Q_1^2 + Q_2^2 \tag{4}$$

It is more convenient for later purposes, to introduce the operator,

$$Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_1 + iQ_2) \tag{5}$$

and it's adjoint operator,

$$Q^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Q_1 - iQ_2) \tag{6}$$

It is easily verifiable that these two satisfy,

$$Q^2 = Q^{\dagger 2} = 0, \quad \{Q, Q^\dagger\} = H \tag{7}$$

The abstract mathematical theoretical framework of supersymmetric quantum mechanics requires an unitary involution defined for the corresponding Hilbert space. We call this involution operator, Witten parity and it is an odd, bounded operator [42] which we denote W. This operator commutes with the Hamiltonian,

$$[W, H] = 0 \tag{8}$$

and anti-commutes with the supercharges Q and $Q^\dagger$,

$$\{W, Q\} = \{W, Q^\dagger\} = 0 \tag{9}$$

Therefore since the Hilbert space corresponding to the Hamiltonian is left invariant by the involution W, the Hamiltonian H being self adjoint, additionally is an abstract Dirac operator. Moreover W serves as an (orthogonal) projection operator on the Hilbert space of the quantum system,

$$W^2 = 1 \tag{10}$$

Being a projective operator, W spans the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ to subspaces classified according to their positive and negative Witten parity namely,

$$\mathcal{H}^{\pm} = P^{\pm}\mathcal{H} = \{|\psi\rangle : W|\psi\rangle = \pm|\psi\rangle\} \tag{11}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-$. Every operator with domain the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ acquires an $2N \times 2N$ matrix representation. Using the aforementioned representation we can write:

$$W = \left(\begin{array}{cc} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{array}\right) \tag{12}$$

with $I = id_M$, M being the space of $N \times N$ matrices. Owing to $Q^2 = 0$ and $\{Q, W\} = 0$, the supercharges can take the following form,

$$Q = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \qquad Q^\dagger = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ A^\dagger & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{13}$$

The matrices A and $A^\dagger$, are $N \times N$ representations of generalized annihilation and creation operators, with,

$$\begin{aligned} &A : \mathcal{H}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^+ \\ &A^\dagger : \mathcal{H}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^- \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

An interesting feature of the representation (12), (13), is that the Hamiltonian H, can be rendered diagonal, a feature that is rather welcome, due to the fact that the spectral analysis of the Hamilton operator is much simplified. Indeed the spectral problem reduces into studying the subspaces [42]. Obviously the Hamiltonian can be written,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} AA^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & A^{\dagger}A \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

Consequently, the supersymmetric Hamiltonian H, can be written as the direct sum of two superpartner Hamiltonians,

$$H_{+} = A\,A^{\dagger}, \quad H_{-} = A^{\dagger}\,A \tag{16}$$

We shall make use of the operator $P^{\pm}$, the eigenstates of which, we call positive and negative and we denote $|\psi^{\pm}\rangle$. These parity eigenstates satisfy,

$$P^{\pm}|\psi^{\pm}\rangle = \pm|\psi^{\pm}\rangle \tag{17}$$

and using relation (12), the parity eigenstates can be cast in the form,

$$|\psi^{+}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |\phi^{+}\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad |\psi^{-}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |\phi^{-}\rangle \end{pmatrix} \tag{18}$$

In the above, $|\phi^{\pm}\rangle \; \epsilon \; H^{\pm}$. Unbroken supersymmetry requires the Hilbert space to contain at least one state, $|\psi_0\rangle$, with vanishing energy eigenvalue, namely $H|\psi_0\rangle = 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} Q|\psi_0\rangle &= 0 \\ Q^{\dagger}|\psi_0\rangle &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

For a negative parity ground state,

$$|\psi_0^{-}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |\phi_0^{-}\rangle \end{pmatrix} \tag{20}$$

this would obviously imply (by virtue of (19)) that $A|\phi_0^{-}\rangle = 0$. Correspondingly, for a positive parity ground state,

$$|\psi_0^{+}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |\phi_0^{+}\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

we would have that $A^{\dagger}|\phi_0^{+}\rangle = 0$.
A ground state can be either Witten parity positive or Witten parity negative. However in a degenerate ground state situation, both cases can occur.
In the case $E \neq 0$, the number of positive parity eigenstates equals to the negative parity eigenstates. More elaborately, the operator $A\,A^{\dagger}$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator $A^{\dagger}\,A$, which is equivalent to say that the spectra of the aforementioned operators are equal away from zero. This however is not true for the zero modes. In order to describe the net number of zero modes, Witten introduced an index, thereafter called the Witten index [41] Δ,

$$\Delta = n_{-} - n_{+} \tag{22}$$

We denote $n_{\pm}$ the number of zero modes corresponding to the sub-Hamiltonians $H_{\pm}$. If the number of zero modes is a finite number, the operator A is Fredholm, which is equivalent to saying $\dim \ker A < \infty$ [42]. Supersymmetry is unbroken if the Witten index is a non-zero number $\in \mathcal{Z}$. However when the Witten index is zero, we cannot directly infer whether supersymmetry is broken or unbroken. The critical issue that determines supersymmetry breaking is whether $n_+ = n_- = 0$ or if $n_+ = n_- \neq 0$ [43]. In the first case, supersymmetry is broken, while in the second case supersymmetry is unbroken. For an interesting application of unbroken supersymmetry when the Witten index is zero see references [45–47].

The Witten index is related to the Fredholm index of the operator A as,

$$\Delta = \mathrm{ind}A = \dim \ker H_- - \dim \ker H_+ \tag{23}$$

with,

$$\mathrm{ind}A = \dim \ker A - \dim \ker A^\dagger = \dim \ker A^\dagger A - \dim \ker AA^\dagger \tag{24}$$

In this paper we shall not make use of Fredholm operators. Therefore we shall need a generalization of the Fredholm index (and of the corresponding Witten index). The heat-kernel regularized index, both for the operator A, that is $\mathrm{ind}_t A$ and for the Witten index, Δ_t, are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{ind}_t A = \mathrm{Tr}(-We^{-tA^\dagger A}) = \mathrm{tr}_-(-We^{-tA^\dagger A}) - \mathrm{tr}_+(-We^{-tAA^\dagger}) \\ &\Delta_t = \lim_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{ind}_t A \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

In the above, $t > 0$, and additionally the $\mathrm{tr}_{\pm}$ stands for the trace in the subspaces $\mathcal{H}^{\pm}$. The heat-kernel regularized index is defined for operators that are trace class (in our case above, the operator $\mathrm{tr}(-We^{-tA^\dagger A})$ must be trace class), that is, they have a finite trace norm. This is independent of the orthonormal basis describing the Hilbert space. From the Banach space of all trace class operators, we shall be interested in the subspace spanned by the A and $A^\dagger$ and their product $A\,A^\dagger$.

2 Massless Fermion Quasinormal modes for a Kerr Black Hole

We shall study first the quasinormal modes of a Dirac fermion in a Kerr black hole background. Particularly, we focus on the massless fermion case. As we mentioned earlier, the Kerr black hole is one of the most important four dimensional black hole solutions, since realistic astrophysical black holes are rotating with negligible electric charge and in addition, the quasinormal modes stemming from such a background are very important for observations of gravitational waves [48–53] (and more likely gravity waves will come from such objects). We shall make use of the Newman-Penrose formalism [54], which is frequently used in the literature [25–32]. Let the metric be (we use the notation of [25]),

$$g(e_a, e_b) = \eta_{ab} \tag{26}$$

with

$$e_\mu = e^a_\mu e_a \tag{27}$$

The operators e^a_μ satisfy

$$e^a_\mu e^\nu_a = \delta^\nu_\mu \tag{28}$$

The Newman-Penrose formalism is based on the tetrads, which is the basis set $l, n, m, \bar{m}$. The first two are real and the last two complex with the $\bar{m}$ being the complex conjugate of m. These satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} l \cdot l = n \cdot n = m \cdot m = \bar{m} \cdot \bar{m} = 0 \\ l \cdot m = n \cdot \bar{m} = n \cdot m = n \cdot \bar{m} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

and $l \cdot n = 1$, $m \cdot \bar{m} = 1$. The fundamental matrix η_{ab} in that basis, takes the form:

$$\eta_{ab} = \eta^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{30}$$

with the basis $e_1 = l$, $e_2 = n$, $e_3 = m$, $e_4 = \bar{m}$. When used to define directional derivatives, these are denoted as: $e_1 = D$, $e_2 = D^*$, $e_3 = \delta$, $e_4 = \delta^*$. The massless Dirac equation in the null tetrad basis reads:

$$i\gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu \Psi = 0 \tag{31}$$

with the covariant derivative being equal to [55–58],

$$\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{4}\omega^a_{\mu\ b}\eta_{ca}\gamma^{cb} \tag{32}$$

The spin connection $\omega^a_{\mu\ b}$ on the pseudo-Riemannian manifold, satisfies the following equation:

$$\partial_\mu e^a_\nu + \omega^a_{\mu\ b} e^b_\nu - \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\,\nu} e^a_\sigma \tag{33}$$

For the purposes of our analysis, we write the Dirac spinor Ψ in terms of two Weyl spinors, ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$, namely:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \tilde{\psi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{34}$$

Substituting Eq.(34) to Eq.(31), we obtain a new set of equations, in terms of Weyl spinors:

$$\sigma^\mu_{ac} \nabla_\mu \psi^a = 0 \quad \tilde{\sigma}^\mu_{ac} \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{\psi}^c = 0 \tag{35}$$

where, σ^μ represents the Pauli matrices, and ∇_μ and $\tilde{\nabla}_\mu$ are the covariant derivatives of ψ^a and $\tilde{\psi}^a$, respectively. The Pauli matrices take new forms in the vielbein formalism for curved spacetime, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^\mu = e^\mu_a \sigma^a, \ \ \rho_\mu = e^a_\mu \sigma_a \\ \tilde{\rho}^\mu = e^\mu_a \tilde{\sigma}^a, \ \ \tilde{\rho}_\mu = e^a_\mu \tilde{\sigma}_a \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The four dimensional Kerr background spacetime has the following metric:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}s^2 = &-\Big(1-\frac{2Mr}{\rho^2}\Big)\mathrm{d}t^2 - \Big(\frac{4Mra\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\Big)\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &+\frac{\rho^2}{\Delta}\mathrm{d}r^2 + \rho^2\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \Big(r^2+a^2+\frac{2Mra\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\Big)\sin^2\theta\mathrm{d}\phi^2, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

with, $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta$, $\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2Mr$ and $a = \frac{J}{M}$ the rotation parameter of the black hole. For later purposes, we define $\bar{\rho} = r + ia\cos\theta$ and $\bar{\rho}^* = r - ia\cos\theta$ such that $\rho^2 = \bar{\rho}\bar{\rho}^*$. The basis of the Newman-Penrose formalism, defined for the Kerr geometry can be written:

$$\begin{aligned} &l_j = \frac{1}{\Delta}(\Delta, -\rho^2, 0, -a\Delta\sin^2\theta), \quad n_j = \frac{1}{2\rho^2}(\Delta, \rho^2, 0, -a\Delta\sin^2\theta) \\ &m_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\rho}}(-ia\sin\theta, 0, -\rho^2, -i(r^2+a^2)\sin\theta), \quad \bar{m}_j = m_j^* \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The Ricci rotation coefficients in terms of the spin connection are [54]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa = \omega_{311}, \ \ \rho = \omega_{314}, \ \ \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{211+\omega_{341}}) \\ &\sigma = \omega_{313}, \ \ \mu = \omega_{243}, \ \ \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{213+\omega_{324}}) \\ &\lambda = \omega_{244}, \ \ \tau = \omega_{312}, \ \ \alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{213+\omega_{344}}) \\ &\nu = \omega_{242}, \ \ \pi = \omega_{241}, \ \ \beta = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_{213+\omega_{343}}) \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Using the above, the Dirac equation (31) in the Kerr spacetime can be cast in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} &(D+\epsilon-\tilde{\rho})\psi^0 + (\delta^*+\pi-\alpha)\psi^1 = 0, \\ &(D^*+\mu-\gamma)\psi^1 + (\delta+\beta-\tau)\psi^0 = 0, \\ &(D+\epsilon^*-\bar{\rho}^*)\bar{\psi}^0 + (\delta+\pi^*-\alpha^*)\tilde{\psi}^1 = 0 \\ &(D^*+\mu^*-\gamma^*)\bar{\psi}^1 + (\delta^*+\beta^*-\tau^*)\tilde{\psi}^0 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

We can express the basis vectors of the Newman-Penrose formalism as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &l = D = \mathcal{D}_0, \ \ n = D^* = -\frac{\Delta}{2\rho^2}\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \\ &m = \delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\rho}}\mathcal{L}_0^\dagger, \ \ \bar{m} = \delta^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\rho}^*}\mathcal{L}_0 \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{D}_0 = \partial_r + i\frac{K}{\Delta}, \ \ \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger = \partial_r - i\frac{K}{\Delta} \\ &\mathcal{L}_0 = \partial_\theta + Q, \ \ \mathcal{L}_0 = \partial_\theta - Q \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

and $K = (r^2+a^2)\omega+am$ and $Q = a\omega\sin\theta+\frac{m}{\sin\theta}$. For later use, we introduce the operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_n &= \partial_r + i\frac{K}{\Delta} + 2n\frac{r-M}{\Delta}, \ \ \mathcal{D}_n^\dagger = \partial_r - i\frac{K}{\Delta} + 2n\frac{r-M}{\Delta} \\ \mathcal{L}_n &= \partial_\theta + Q + \frac{n}{\tan\theta} - \frac{ina\sin\theta}{\bar\rho}, \ \ \mathcal{L}_n^\dagger = \partial_\theta - Q + \frac{n}{\tan\theta} + \frac{ina\sin\theta}{\bar\rho^*} \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

We seek solution of the form $\psi(t,r,\theta,\phi) = e^{i(\omega t+m\phi)}\psi(r,\theta)$. Upon re-scaling the spinors in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(r,\theta) &= \bar\rho^*\psi^0, \ \ f_2(r,\theta) = \psi^1 \\ g_1(r,\theta) &= \tilde\psi^1, \ \ g_2(r,\theta) = -\bar\rho^*\tilde\psi^0 \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

the Dirac equation can be recast as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{D}_0 f_1(r,\theta) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}} f_2(r,\theta) = 0 \\ &\Delta\mathcal{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger f_2(r,\theta) - \sqrt{2}\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger f_1(r,\theta) = 0 \\ &\mathcal{D}_0 g_2(r,\theta) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger g_1(r,\theta) = 0 \\ &\Delta\mathcal{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger g_1(r,\theta) + \sqrt{2}\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}} f_1(r,\theta) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, can be deduced from Eq.(43). In order to make contact with the quasinormal modes spectrum, we separate the above functions into radial and angular parts, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(r,\theta) &= R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r)S_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta), \\ f_2(r,\theta) &= R_{\frac{1}{2}}(r)S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta), \\ g_1(r,\theta) &= R_{\frac{1}{2}}(r)S_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta), \\ g_2(r,\theta) &= R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r)S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta) \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

By substituting Eq.(46), to Eq.(45), we obtain the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}} S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta) &= -\lambda S_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta) \\ \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}^\dagger S_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta) &= \lambda S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta) \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_0 R'_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r) &= \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\Delta}} R_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) \\ \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger R_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) &= \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\Delta}} R'_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r) \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

with ${R'}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r)=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\Delta}}R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r)$. Equations (47) and (48) correspond to the angular and radial part of the Dirac equation respectively. Eliminating $S_{\frac{1}{2}}$or $S_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ from the above equation, and upon defining $u=\cos\theta$, we can find that the angular equation can be written as (with $s=\pm\frac{1}{2}$):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}\Big((1-u^2)\mathrm{d}S_s(\theta)\mathrm{d}u\Big)+\Big((a\omega u)^2-2\,a\omega\,s\,u+s+A_{lm}-\frac{m+s\,u}{1-u^2}\Big)S_s=0 \qquad (49)$$

with $A_{lm}=\lambda^2+2\,m\,a\,a-(a\omega)^2$. The parameter λ is real, but our results are indifferent to whether λ is real or not. This would change slightly our notation, but the results would be the same. Equations (47) and (48), will be our starting point of our analysis. We shall see that the solutions of these equations are related to a supersymmetric Hilbert space. The above equation (48) (using the tortoise coordinate x, defined as $\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}x}=\frac{\Delta\omega}{K}$), can be transformed to the following Schroedinger like equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2Z_{\pm}}{\mathrm{d}x^2}+\Big(\omega^2-V_{\pm}(x)\Big)Z_{\pm}=0 \qquad (50)$$

with $Z_{\pm}=P_{\frac{1}{2}}\pm P_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $V_{\pm}(x)=\lambda^2\frac{\Delta}{K^2}\pm\lambda\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{K}\Big)$. Equation (50) is the quasinormal modes master equation for a fermion field in Kerr spacetime. We are not interested in solving the master equation, there are quite rigorous techniques for doing that [1–32]. Our main interest is whether the spectrum in terms of ω is discrete or continuous. Quasinormal modes are solutions of the above equation, with the wave functions satisfying certain boundary conditions at the horizon and at infinity. In addition the quasinormal modes corresponding to Kerr black holes form a countable set of discrete frequencies. A noticeable feature is the fact that accuracy is necessary when computing quasinormal modes because a considerable change in the black hole parameters results in a minor change in the quasinormal modes. The boundary conditions are very crucial in order to define a trace class operator, which be valuable to us in the following. Based on equations (47) and (48), we can construct a $N=2$ supersymmetric quantum algebra acting on the fermionic solutions. We define the matrix D_K,

$$D_K=\left(\begin{array}{cc} D_0 & \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\Delta}} & D_0^{\dagger} \end{array}\right) \qquad (51)$$

acting on the vector:

$$|\phi_K^-\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c} {R'}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r) \\ R_{+\frac{1}{2}}(r) \end{array}\right). \qquad (52)$$

Replacing the operators D_0 from equation (43), D_K is equal to:

$$D_K=\left(\begin{array}{cc} \Big(\partial_r+i\frac{K}{\Delta}\Big) & \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\Delta}} & \Big(\partial_r-i\frac{K}{\Delta}\Big) \end{array}\right) \qquad (53)$$

We can easily obtain $D_K^\dagger$ which is equal to (note that K contains ω which is complex):

$$D_K^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\partial_r - i\frac{K^*}{\Delta}\right) & \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \\ \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{\Delta}} & \left(\partial_r + i\frac{K^*}{\Delta}\right) \end{pmatrix} \tag{54}$$

acting on

$$|\phi_K^+\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \left(R'_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r)\right)^* \\ \left(R_{+\frac{1}{2}}(r)\right)^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{55}$$

Obviously each quasinormal mode satisfies the zero mode equation of D_K. Therefore we could say that the quasinormal modes (which can be found from equation (50)) are in bijective correspondence to the zero modes of the operator D_K. The same argument applies for the operator $D_K^\dagger$, with the difference that in this case the quasinormal modes are the complex conjugate of the previous case.

Making use of the operators D_K and $D_K^\dagger$ we can form an $N = 2$ susy QM algebra. The supercharges of this algebra, Q and $Q^\dagger$ are defined in terms of D_K and $D_K^\dagger$,

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_K \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ D_K^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{56}$$

Additionally, the quantum Hamiltonian can be cast in following diagonal form (see previous section),

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} D_K D_K^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & D_K^\dagger D_K \end{pmatrix} \tag{57}$$

The supercharges (56) and the Hamiltonian and (57), satisfy the relations (7) and (8), namely $\{Q, Q^\dagger\} = H$, $Q^2 = 0$, ${Q^\dagger}^2 = 0$, $\{Q, W\} = 0$, $W^2 = I$ and $[W, H] = 0$. Hence an $N = 2$ susy QM algebra underlies the radial part of fermionic black hole system.
The equations of the quasinormal modes, $D_K|\phi^-\rangle = 0$ and it's conjugate $D_K^\dagger|\phi^+\rangle = 0$ have complex conjugate solutions. Obviously we can have a bijective correspondence between the quasinormal modes, given by equation $D_K|\phi^-\rangle = 0$, and their complex conjugate counterparts, given by $D_K^\dagger|\phi^+\rangle = 0$. It is obvious that this bijective correspondence holds between the zero modes of the matrices D_K and $D_K^\dagger$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{ker} D_K = \mathrm{ker} D_K^\dagger \tag{58}$$

which in turn implies $\mathrm{ker} D_K D_K^\dagger = \mathrm{ker} D_K D_K^\dagger$. As a consequence, the following relation holds for the operators $e^{-tD_K^\dagger D_K}$ and $e^{-tD_K D_K^\dagger}$

$$\mathrm{tr}_- e^{-tD_K^\dagger D_K} = \mathrm{tr}_+ e^{-tD_K D_K^\dagger} \tag{59}$$

Recall that $\mathrm{tr}_\pm$ stands for the trace in the subspaces $\mathcal{H}^\pm$. As a consequence of relation (59), the regularized index of the operator D_K is equal to zero. Consequently the regularized

Witten index is also zero. Hence, the fermionic system possesses an unbroken $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics algebra.
Using the notation of relation (20) the equation $D_K|\phi^-\rangle = 0$ has a direct representative equation for the supercharge, namely, $Q|\psi_0^-\rangle = 0$. In view of section's 1 terminology, this implies that the zero mode eigenstate $|\psi_0^-\rangle$ is a negative Witten parity eigenstate, and is equal to:

$$|\psi_0^-\rangle = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ R'_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r) \\ R_{+\frac{1}{2}}(r) \end{array}\right) \tag{60}$$

In the same vain, the positive Witten parity vacuum eigenstate is,

$$|\psi_0^+\rangle = \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(R'_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r)\right)^* \\ \left(R_{+\frac{1}{2}}(r)\right)^* \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{61}$$

The angular case can be treated accordingly following the same lines as in the radial part of the Dirac equation. Adopting the notation of the previous paragraphs, the algebra can be built on the matrices D_{R_θ} and $D^\dagger_{K_\theta}$. The matrix D_{K_θ} is defined to be:

$$D_{K_\theta} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}} & -\lambda \\ \lambda & \mathcal{L}^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}} \end{array}\right) \tag{62}$$

acting on

$$|\phi^-_{K_\theta}\rangle = \left(\begin{array}{c} S_{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta) \\ S_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta) \end{array}\right). \tag{63}$$

which is a direct consequence of equation (47). It's conjugate equals to:

$$D^\dagger_{K_\theta} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{L}^*_{\frac{1}{2}} & \lambda \\ -\lambda & \mathcal{L}^{\dagger\,*}_{\frac{1}{2}} \end{array}\right) \tag{64}$$

Recall that the operators $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^\dagger_{\frac{1}{2}}$ contain ω which is a complex number. We must note that the situation at hand is much more evolved in comparison to the angular case. It is obvious that since quasinormal modes exist, the operator D_{K_θ} certainly has a set of discrete zero modes, that belong to a countable set of complex numbers. However we cannot argue that the same holds for the operator $D^\dagger_{K_\theta}$. In the radial case we could solve the zero mode problem of the two corresponding operators simultaneously, since the zero modes of the operators where complex conjugate to each other (a proof for existence of solutions in a much more general setup see [59]). But in the angular case we cannot apply the same argument. Therefore we can argue that in general the number of zero modes of the two matrices are not equal. Hence we could naively argue that supersymmetry is unbroken in this case, but for different reasons in comparison to the radial case. This naive argument is based on the fact that the operators have not the same number of zero modes, and therefore supersymmetry is unbroken. This however would be true only in the case the operators where Fredholm, which are not (since $\mathrm{dimkerD}_{\mathrm{k}_\theta} \to \infty$). Moreover, we cannot be sure whether the operator $D^{\dagger}_{K_\theta}$ is trace-class. Therefore, we conclude that only the radial part of a Dirac fermionic system in the Kerr black hole background can be associated to a $N = 2$ susy QM algebra.

Before closing we must note that up to date, the important theoretical issue that addresses the nature of neutrino, that is whether it is Dirac or Majorana, has not be answered successfully yet. Hence any information on the effect of massless (if the neutrino can be considered massless) fermions in nature is invaluable. We studied a massless Dirac fermion in the most realistic curved four dimensional gravitational background and found an underlying supersymmetry, a result that has some value in combination that is very closely related to the quasinormal modes of the fermion in the same background. It would be certainly be interesting to study if supersymmetric structures exist when massive Dirac fermions and also when Majorana fermions are considered. Of course this would require a complete study of the quasinormal modes of massive Dirac fermions and of Majorana fermions in Kerr backgrounds. We hope to address such issues in the future, but these issues exceed the purposes of this paper.

3 Fermion Quasinormal modes for Kerr-Newman, Reisnerr-Nördstrom and Schwarzschild Black Holes

3.1 The Kerr-Newman Black Hole

In this section we further explore whether supersymmetric structures underlie any other fermion systems in curved gravitational backgrounds. We shall study first the Kerr-Newman black hole, which is the only asymptotically flat solution of the Einstein equations, with electrised vacuum. We consider a massive fermion of Dirac type in such a black hole background. Following the steps of the previous section and adopting the notation of reference [26], the null tetrad corresponding to Kerr-Newman black hole are:

$$\begin{aligned} & l^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\Delta}(r^2 + a^2, \Delta, 0, a), \quad n^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2\rho\bar{\rho}}(r^2 + a^2, -\Delta, 0, a) \\ & m^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\bar{\rho}}(ia\sin\theta, 0, 1, \frac{i}{\sin\theta}), \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

with, $\rho = r - ia\cos\theta$, $\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2Mr + Q^2$. Using as previously the Newman-Penrose formalism, the general Dirac equation for massive fermion in a Kerr-Newmann background reads,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(D+\epsilon-\rho)P^0+(\bar{\delta}+\pi-\alpha)P^1=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{i}m_F\bar{Q}^{1'}, \\
&(\Delta+\mu-\gamma)P^1+(\delta+\beta-\tau)P^0=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{i}m_F\bar{Q}^{0'}, \\
&(D+\bar{\epsilon}-\bar{\rho})\bar{Q}^{0'}+(\delta+\bar{\pi}-\bar{\alpha})\bar{Q}^{1'}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{i}m_F P^1 \\
&(\Delta+\bar{\mu}-\bar{\gamma})\bar{Q}^{1'}+(\bar{\delta}+\bar{\beta}-\bar{\tau})\bar{Q}^{0'}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{i}m_F P^0
\end{aligned}
\tag{66}
$$

We write the wave functions in a form that the radial and the angular part are separated. Then the wave functions can be written as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^0&=\frac{1}{\rho}R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r)S_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta)\exp^{-i(\omega t-m\phi)} \\
P^1&=\frac{1}{\rho}R_{+\frac{1}{2}}(r)S_{+\frac{1}{2}}(\theta)\exp^{-i(\omega t-m\phi)} \\
\bar{Q}^{1'}&=\frac{1}{\rho}R_{+\frac{1}{2}}(r)S_{+\frac{1}{2}}(\theta)\exp^{-i(\omega t-m\phi)} \\
\bar{Q}^{0'}&=-\frac{1}{\rho}R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r)S_{+\frac{1}{2}}(\theta)\exp^{-i(\omega t-m\phi)}
\end{aligned}
\tag{67}
$$

Hence, using the equations (66), Dirac can be recast as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{2}}S_{\frac{1}{2}}&=-(\lambda-am_F\cos\theta)S_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
\mathcal{L}^{\dagger}_{\frac{1}{2}}S_{-\frac{1}{2}}&=(\lambda+am_F\cos\theta)S_{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{68}
$$

in reference to the angular part. The radial part can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\Delta}\mathcal{D}_0R_{-\frac{1}{2}}&=(\lambda+im_Fr)R'_{+\frac{1}{2}} \\
\sqrt{\Delta}\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_0R'_{+\frac{1}{2}}&=(\lambda-im_Fr)\sqrt{2}R_{-\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{69}
$$

with $R'_{\frac{1}{2}}=\sqrt{\Delta}R_{+\frac{1}{2}}$ The operators that appear in relations (68) and (69) are equal to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{D}_n=\partial_r+i\frac{K}{\Delta}+\frac{n}{\Delta}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta}{\mathrm{d}r},\ \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}_n=\partial_r-i\frac{K}{\Delta}+\frac{n}{\Delta}\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta}{\mathrm{d}r} \\
&\mathcal{L}_n=\partial_\theta-a\omega\sin\theta+\frac{m}{\sin\theta}+n\cot\theta,\ \mathcal{L}^{\dagger}_n=\partial_\theta+a\omega\sin\theta-\frac{m}{\sin\theta}+n\cot\theta
\end{aligned}
\tag{70}
$$

with $K=(r^2+a^2)\omega+am$. Note that λ is the same as in the Kerr case, since the angular equation can be reduced to Eq. (49), corresponding to the Kerr case [26]. In the following paragraphs we shall study both the massless and massive fermion case. As we shall see, the mass can introduce some complications to our initial arguments but it remains robust to these complications.

3.1.1 Massless Fermion case

Consider the radial part of a massless Dirac fermion first. As in the previous section, from the equations of motion (69), we can construct the matrix D_R on which the supersymmetric quantum algebra can be built on. This matrix is defined to be:

$$D_R = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{\Delta}D_0 & \lambda \\ \lambda & \sqrt{\Delta}D_0^{\dagger} \end{array} \right) \tag{71}$$

acting on the vector:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r) \\ R'_{+\frac{1}{2}}(r) \end{array} \right). \tag{72}$$

Using the explicit form of the operators defined in equation (70), the operator D_R equals to:

$$D_R = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{\Delta}\Big(\partial_r + i\frac{K}{\Delta}\Big) & \lambda \\ \lambda & \sqrt{\Delta}\Big(\partial_r - i\frac{K}{\Delta}\Big) \end{array} \right) \tag{73}$$

We can easily obtain it's adjoint, $D_R^{\dagger}$, which is equal to:

$$D_R^{\dagger} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{\Delta}\Big(\partial_r - i\frac{K^*}{\Delta}\Big) & \lambda \\ \lambda & \sqrt{\Delta}\Big(\partial_r + i\frac{K^*}{\Delta}\Big) \end{array} \right) \tag{74}$$

acting on

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \Big(R'_{+\frac{1}{2}}(r)\Big)^* \\ \Big(R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r)\Big)^* \end{array} \right). \tag{75}$$

The number of the zero modes of the operator $D_R^{\dagger}$ are bijectively related to the number of the zero modes of D_R. This is because, the set of the zero modes of D_R are in one-to-one correspondence to the quasinormal modes, corresponding to the equation (69). In the same vain, the zero modes of $D_R^{\dagger}$ are in one-to-one correspondence to the quasinormal modes, corresponding to complex conjugate of the equation (69). It is necessary to note in order to obtain consistent solutions for the complex conjugate of equation (69), the wave functions must obey the complex conjugate boundary conditions of the wave functions corresponding to equation (69). The existence of a solution for this case is obvious but can be further justified by a theorem on second order differential equations [59]. We are not interested in the specific form of the solutions, but only in the fact that the zero modes of the operators $D_R^{\dagger}$ and D_R have a bijective correspondence. The situation at hand is very similar to the massless Kerr fermion case of the previous section. Having found a correspondence between the zero modes, it is easy to verify that supersymmetry is unbroken, with the heat-kernel regularized Witten index being equal to zero. Let us see this in detail. The susy QM algebra can be built on the supercharges,

$$Q = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & D_R \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad Q^{\dagger} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ D_R^{\dagger} & 0 \end{array} \right) \tag{76}$$

and also the corresponding Hamiltonian,

$$H=\left(\begin{array}{cc} D_R D_R^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & D_R^{\dagger} D_R \end{array}\right) \tag{77}$$

These satisfy $\{Q,Q^{\dagger}\}=H$, $Q^2=0$, ${Q^{\dagger}}^2=0$, $\{Q,W\}=0$ and $[W,H]=0$. Hence an $N=2$ susy QM algebra underlies the radial part of fermionic Kerr-Newman black hole system. In addition, as in the previous section, as a result of the bijective correspondence of the two matrices zero modes we have,

$$\mathrm{ker}D_R=\mathrm{ker}D_R^{\dagger} \tag{78}$$

which in turn implies, $\mathrm{ker}D_R D_R^{\dagger}=\mathrm{ker}D_R D_R^{\dagger}$. As a consequence, the following relation holds for the operators $e^{-tD_R^{\dagger}D_R}$ and $e^{-tD_R D_R^{\dagger}}$

$$\mathrm{tr}_{-}e^{-tD_R^{\dagger}D_R}=\mathrm{tr}_{+}e^{-tD_R D_R^{\dagger}} \tag{79}$$

The above result holds only in the case the operator $\mathrm{tr}(-We^{D_R D_R^{\dagger}})$ is trace class, which is true, since the operators D_R, $D_R^{\dagger}$ and consequently the operators $D_R D_R^{\dagger}$, $D_R^{\dagger}D_R$ are trace class [42]. Hence the regularized Witten index is zero and this in conjunction with equation (78) implies that the radial part of the fermionic system has unbroken supersymmetry. We omit the study of the angular part of the fermionic system since as in the Kerr case, supersymmetry is broken, for the same reasons.

3.1.2 A brief Discussion

We mentioned in the above that in order relation (79) holds, the operator $\mathrm{tr}(-We^{D_R D_R^{\dagger}})$ must be trace class. We argued that this is true since $D_R\,D_R^{\dagger}$ and $D_R^{\dagger}\,D_R$ are trace class. However this is not prerequisite for our case, and the only requirement for $\mathrm{tr}(-We^{D_R D_R^{\dagger}})$ to be trace class is that $D_R\,D_R^{\dagger}-D_R^{\dagger}\,D_R$ is trace class. Indeed, by virtue of a theorem [42], if T and S are two self-adjoint operators, and $T-S$ is trace class, then $f(T)-f(S)$ is also trace class. The function f is a map $f:\mathcal{R}\rightarrow\mathcal{R}$, satisfying:

$$\Big|\int_{\infty}^{\infty}\hat{f}(p)(1+|p|)\mathrm{d}p\Big|<\infty \tag{80}$$

with $\hat{f}$ the fourier transform of f. It is clear that in our case, the function f is the trace of the exponential of the operators $D_R\,D_R^{\dagger}$ and $D_R^{\dagger}\,D_R$. Hence the theorem applies perfectly in the present situation.

3.1.3 Massive Fermion case

We extend the study in the case the Dirac fermion has mass m_F. From the equations of motion (69) we can construct the matrix,

$$D_{MR}=\left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{\Delta}\Big(\partial_r+i\frac{K}{\Delta}\Big) & \lambda+im_F r \\ \lambda-im_F r & \sqrt{\Delta}\Big(\partial_r-i\frac{K}{\Delta}\Big) \end{array}\right) \tag{81}$$

which acts on the vector:

$$\begin{pmatrix} R'_{+\frac{1}{2}}(r) \\ R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(r) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{82}$$

The adjoint of the matrix D_{MR} is equal to:

$$D^{\dagger}_{MR} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\Delta}\Big(\partial_r - i\frac{K^*}{\Delta}\Big) & \lambda + im_F r \\ \lambda - im_F r & \sqrt{\Delta}\Big(\partial_r + i\frac{K^*}{\Delta}\Big) \end{pmatrix} \tag{83}$$

Unlike the massless case, it is not obvious that the zero modes of $D^{\dagger}_{MR}$ exist at all. One must solve the equation $D^{\dagger}_{MR}\psi = 0$, subject to the complex conjugate boundary conditions corresponding to equation (69). The zero modes of the matrix D_{MR} exist and the countable set that these belong to is in one-to-one correspondence to the quasinormal modes for a massive fermion in the Kerr-Newman background. The latter were studied in detail in [26]. We are thus confronted with a non trivial problem. In any case, there are two alternative situations that can occur, in reference to the zero modes of $D^{\dagger}_{MR}$. Either $\mathrm{dimker}D^{\dagger}_{MR} = 0$ or $\mathrm{dimker}D^{\dagger}_{MR} \neq 0$ and we cannot be sure which case is true, unless we solve explicitly the equation $D^{\dagger}_{MR}\psi = 0$. Nonetheless we can answer whether supersymmetry is broken or not if we make use of a theorem. First note that we can write $D_{MR} = D_R + C$, with C the odd symmetric matrix [42],

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & im_F r \\ -im_F r & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{84}$$

and also, the D_R is the massless Kerr-Newman case matrix defined in equation (73). Now, if the operator $\mathrm{tr}We^{-t(D+C)^2}$ is trace class, the following theorem holds,

$$\mathrm{ind}_t(D+C) = \mathrm{ind}_t D \tag{85}$$

with C a symmetric odd operator and D any trace class operator. That is, the regularized index of the operator $D+C$ is equal to the index of the operator D. In our case, this reads, $\mathrm{ind}_t(D_R+C) = \mathrm{ind}_t D_R$. Recall that we found earlier in this section that the Witten index and the heat kernel regularized index of D_R in the Kerr-Newman background are both zero, hence $\mathrm{ind}_t D_R = 0$. By virtue of theorem (85), $\mathrm{ind}_t(D_R + C) = 0$. Hence, in conjunction with the fact that $\mathrm{ker}D_R \neq 0$, we may argue that supersymmetry is unbroken even for the massive fermion case.
Before closing we must mention that if we could be able to prove that $\mathrm{dimker}D^{\dagger}_{MR} = 0$, then supersymmetry would be unbroken. However we gave a different proof that an unbroken $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics algebra underlies the Kerr-Newman fermionic system.

3.2 The Reisnerr-Nördstrom and Schwarzschild Black Hole

Following the lines of argument of the previous sections, it can be easily proven that an unbroken $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics algebra underlies the radial part of massless and massive Dirac fermionic systems in Reisnerr-Nördstrom and Schwarzschild black hole backgrounds. For a detailed study of the corresponding fermionic quasinormal modes can be found in references [27] and [28], respectively. We shall not get into details, since the results are identical to those of the Kerr-Newman case. Indeed, the radial part of the Dirac equation in the Reisnerr-Nördstrom background can be reduced to the same form of equations, like Equation (69). In the Reisnerr-Nördstrom black hole, $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + Q^2$ and $\lambda^2 = (j+\frac{1}{2})^2$, with j positive. The charges of the supersymmetric quantum mechanical algebra are identical to those of the Kerr-Newmann case, with the appropriate replacement of Δ and λ. Definitely, the boundary conditions for the functions to yield the corresponding quasinormal modes must be taken into account, but the reasoning is the same. In the case of the Schwarzschild black hole the same arguments hold, but we should replace everywhere $\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr$ and $\lambda^2 = (j + \frac{1}{2})^2$. Before closing this section we should mention that someone could argue that the supersymmetric quantum mechanics algebras corresponding to the Reisnerr-Nördstrom, Schwarzschild and Kerr-Newman problems, could be related by virtue of the fact that the Reisnerr-Nördstrom metric is the Kerr-Newmann black one when $a = 0$. In addition, the Schwarzschild black hole is the is the Kerr-Newmann black hole when $a = 0$ and $Q = 0$. This would be a very interesting task, but we defer such an issue to a future work.

4 The D-dimensional de Sitter spacetime and Kerr-Newman-de Sitter Black Hole

In this section we shall study whether the supersymmetric structure we found in the previous sections, also underlies de Sitter related spacetimes. We start first with a D-dimensional de Sitter spacetime, in which we consider a massive Dirac fermion. We adopt the notation of reference [29]. See also references [30–32]. In general, the metric of an D-dimensional spherically symmetric spacetime, is of the form,

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = W^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 - \frac{W(y)^2}{U(y)^2}\mathrm{d}y^2 - \frac{W(y)^2}{V(y)^2}y^2 \mathrm{d}\Omega^2_{D-2} \tag{86}$$

with $\mathrm{d}\Omega^2_{D-2}$, the metric of the $D-2$ dimensional unit sphere. In this spacetime, the Dirac equation of a fermion with mass m_f can be cast as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_f W & U\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} + k\frac{V}{y} \\ -U\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} + k\frac{V}{y} & -m_f W \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f^{(1)}_{\omega,k}(y) \\ f^{(2)}_{\omega,k}(y) \end{pmatrix} = \omega \begin{pmatrix} f^{(1)}_{\omega,k}(y) \\ f^{(2)}_{\omega,k}(y) \end{pmatrix} \tag{87}$$

with $k = \pm(\frac{D-2}{2} + n)$. Now in the D-dimensional de Sitter spacetime background, the quantities U, V and W are equal to:

$$U = 1 \quad \frac{V}{y} = \frac{1}{L\sinh(\frac{y}{L})} \quad W = \frac{1}{L\cosh(\frac{y}{L})} \tag{88}$$

In the above equation, L is related to the cosmological constant. Using the coordinate $z=\tanh(\frac{y}{L})$, with $r=zL$, the Dirac equation (87) can be written:

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\sqrt{1-z^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,z}-\frac{i\tilde{\omega}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}\Big]R_1(y)-\Big[\frac{k}{z}-i\tilde{M}\Big]R_2(y)=0\\ \Big[\sqrt{1-z^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,z}+\frac{i\tilde{\omega}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}\Big]R_2(y)-\Big[\frac{k}{z}+i\tilde{M}\Big]R_1(y)=0\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

with $\tilde{\omega}=\omega\,L$ and $\tilde{M}=m_f\,L$ and additionally

$$R_1(y)=-i\,f^{(1)}_{\omega,k}(y)+f^{(2)}_{\omega,k}(y),\qquad R_2(y)=-i\,f^{(1)}_{\omega,k}(y)-f^{(2)}_{\omega,k}(y) \tag{90}$$

In the case $\tilde{M}=0$, equation (89) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\sqrt{1-z^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,z}-\frac{i\tilde{\omega}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}\Big]R_1(y)-\frac{k}{z}R_2(y)=0\\ \Big[\sqrt{1-z^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,z}+\frac{i\tilde{\omega}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}\Big]R_2(y)-\frac{k}{z}R_1(y)=0\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

The above equation gives the quasinormal mode spectrum of the fermionic system in this de-Sitter background. It is obvious that also in this case, as in the previous cases, the quasinormal spectrum is in bijective correspondence to the zero modes of the operator:

$$D_{dS}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\sqrt{1-z^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,z}-\frac{i\tilde{\omega}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} & \frac{k}{z}\\ \frac{k}{z} & \sqrt{1-z^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,z}+\frac{i\tilde{\omega}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}\end{array}\right) \tag{92}$$

acting on the vector:

$$|\phi^-_{dS}\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}R_1(y)\\ R_2(y)\end{array}\right). \tag{93}$$

and also $D^{\dagger}_{dS}$:

$$D^{\dagger}_{dS}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\sqrt{1-z^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,z}+\frac{i\tilde{\omega}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} & \frac{k}{z}\\ \frac{k}{z} & \sqrt{1-z^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,z}-\frac{i\tilde{\omega}}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}\end{array}\right) \tag{94}$$

acting on

$$|\phi^+_{dS}\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}\Big(R_2(y)\Big)^*\\ \Big(R_1(y)\Big)^*\end{array}\right). \tag{95}$$

As in the Kerr case, the operators can be used to form an unbroken $N=2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics algebra. Without getting into details, the supercharges of this algebra, Q and $Q^{\dagger}$ are,

$$Q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & D_{dS}\\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right),\quad Q^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0\\ D^{\dagger}_{dS} & 0\end{array}\right) \tag{96}$$

Moreover, the quantum Hamiltonian is,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} D_{dS} D_{dS}^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & D_{dS}^{\dagger} D_{dS} \end{pmatrix} \tag{97}$$

Since,

$$\ker D_{dS} = \ker D_{dS}^{\dagger} \tag{98}$$

which in turn implies, $\ker D_{dS} D_{dS}^{\dagger} = \ker D_{dS} D_{dS}^{\dagger}$. As a consequence, the following relation holds for the operators $e^{-tD_{dS}^{\dagger} D_{dS}}$ and $e^{-tD_{dS} D_{dS}^{\dagger}}$

$$\mathrm{tr}_{-} e^{-tD_{dS}^{\dagger} D_{dS}} = \mathrm{tr}_{+} e^{-tD_{dS} D_{dS}^{\dagger}} \tag{99}$$

Hence supersymmetry is unbroken, just in the Kerr massless fermion case. The difference between the two problems however is that in the de Sitter case, the whole system possesses this supersymmetry and in the Kerr case, only the radial part has this symmetry. The massive case can be also treated identically to the massive case of the Kerr-Newman black hole, yielding the result that the system possesses unbroken $N = 2$ susy QM. Before closing this section we mention that the system of a Dirac fermionic field in the Kerr-Newman-de Sitter black hole background has also an $N = 2$ susy QM, but we omit such a study since it is identical to the other cases we studied, with different supercharges and Hamiltonian of course. For a study of the fermionic quasinormal modes in a Kerr-Newman-de Sitter background, see reference [30].

5 Discussion and Conclusions

In this paper we studied the relation of the quasinormal modes to supersymmetry. In particular, we showed that an $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics algebra underlies Dirac fermionic systems when these are considered in various black holes backgrounds. We examined thoroughly the massless Dirac fermion Kerr black hole, the massless and massive fermion case in Kerr-Newman, Reisnerr-Nördstrom, Schwarzschild, D-dimensional de Sitter, and Kerr-Newman-de Sitter spacetimes. In all the cases we found a hidden unbroken supersymmetry in the radial part of the Dirac fermion system, and the non-breaking of supersymmetry was very closely connected to the operators being trace-class and to the existence of quasinormal modes. Actually the zero modes of the fermionic system in each case, was in bijective correspondence to the quasinormal modes of each system.

Let us see some implications of supersymmetry for the fermionic systems. Firstly, from a mathematical point of view, some quantities related to the corresponding spectral problems have a very simple form, for example the Krein's spectral function $\xi(\lambda)$ [42], which is zero since the regularized Witten index is zero. Additionally, the Hamiltonian is invariant under the global $U(1)$ transformation of the supercharges [43].

$$Q^{'} = e^{-ia} Q, \qquad Q^{'\dagger} = e^{ia} Q^{\dagger} \tag{100}$$

Therefore, the resulting quantum system possesses a continuous R-symmetry, the breaking of which into a discrete one can be a very interesting situation. An intriguing question is, how to break this continuous symmetry explicitly, because an explicit breaking could make us assume that a supercharge acquires a constant vacuum expectation value (assuming it's vacuum expectation value is it's trace over all vacuum eigenstates). Such a thing does not spoil the trace-class properties of the operators we studied and it's physically appealing since discrete symmetries are inherent to quantum system with fermionic condensates.

In view of gauge/gravity dualities it would be interesting to search for such supersymmetries in quantum systems with AdS backgrounds. In addition, such a study would be complete if both Majorana and Dirac fermion zero modes are studied in such backgrounds, in reference to supersymmetric structures. Moreover this would require the Majorana fermion quasinormal mode spectrum, in black hole backgrounds, and since the nature of the neutrino is not known (that is whether it is Majorana or Dirac), up to date, it would be interesting by it self to study this issue. In addition, classical black holes solutions may be used in the quantum black hole theory. A perturbative treatment of these classical solutions is a first approach to a quantum black hole [60]. It is well known [60] that non-Abelian uplifted magnetic dilatonic black holes are connected to a $N = 4$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics algebra. A question that naturally arises is whether this $N = 4$ algebra can in any way be connected to the $N = 2$ algebra we studied in this article. It is obvious that the theoretical frameworks are different in the two cases, but nevertheless the underlying supersymmetric quantum mechanics structure motivates us to check also whether there is a connection between the topology of the black holes and the $N = 2$ susy QM algebra we found. This connection to the topology is also advocated by the fact that the Witten index of the algebra vanishes, the last being a topological property characterizing the various spin structures of the spacetime. We hope to address these issues in a future work.

References

[1] Kokkotas, K. D., Schmidt, B. G., Living Rev. Rel. 2, 2 (1999)

[2] Nollert, H. P., Class. Quant. Grav. 16, R159 (1999).

[3] Berti, E., Cardoso, V. and Starinets, A. O., Class. Quant. Grav. 26, 163001 (2009)

[4] Konoplya, R. A.,Zhidenko, A., Rev. Mod. Phys. 83:793 (2011)

[5] Detweiler, S. L., Proc. R. Soc. London, A, 352, 381395, (1977)

[6] Detweiler, S., Astrophys. J. 239, 292 (1980)

[7] Seidel, E. and Iyer, S., Phys. Rev. D 41, 374 (1990)

[8] Cardoso, V., Lemos, J. P. S. and Yoshida, S., Phys. Rev. D 69, 044004 (2004)

[9] Berti, E., Cardoso, V. and Yoshida, S., Phys. Rev. D 69, 124018 (2004)

[10] Hod, S. and Keshet, U., Class. Quant. Grav. 22, L71 (2005)

[11] Keshet, U. and Hod, S., Phys. Rev. D 76, 061501 (2007)

[12] Berti, E. and Kokkotas, K. D., Phys. Rev. D 71, 124008 (2005)

[13] Kokkotas, K. D., Nuovo Cim. B 108, 991 (1993).

[14] Gunter, D. L., Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, A 296, 497526 (1980)

[15] Leaver, E. W., Phys. Rev. D 41, 2986 (1990)

[16] Kokkotas, K. D. and Schutz, B. F., Phys. Rev. D 37, 3378 (1988)

[17] Onozawa, H., Mishima, T., Okamura, T. and Ishihara, H., Phys. Rev. D 53, 7033 (1996)

[18] Motl, L. and Neitzke, A., Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 7 307 (2003)

[19] Natario, J. and Schiappa, R., Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 8 (2004) 1001

[20] Cardoso, V., Natario, J. and Schiappa, R., J. Math. Phys. 45, 4698 (2004)

[21] Davis, M., Ruffini, R., Press, W. H. and Price, R. H., Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 1466 (1971)

[22] Iyer, S., Phys. Rev. D 35, 3632 (1987). (1984)

[23] Nollert, H.-P., Phys. Rev. D 47, 5253 (1993)

[24] Motl, L., Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 6 1135 (2003)

[25] W. A. Carlson, A. S. Cornell, B. Jordan, arXiv:1201.3267

[26] J. Jing, Q. Pan, Nucl. Phys. B728, 109-120 (2005)

[27] Yue-Jiang Wu, Zheng Zhao, Phys. Rev. D69, 084015 (2004)

[28] Jiliang Jing, Phys. Rev. D71, 124006 (2005)

[29] A. Lopez-Ortega, Gen. Rel. Grav. 39:1011 (2007)

[30] Jia-Feng Chang, You-Gen Shen, Nucl. Phys. B712, 347 (2005)

[31] A. Lpez-Ortega, Gen. Rel. Grav. 38, 743 (2006)

[32] Da-Ping Du, Bin Wang, Ru-Keng Su, Phys.Rev. D70, 064024 (2004)

[33] Kanti, P., Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19, 4899 (2004)

[34] P. T. Leung, Alec Maassen van den Brink, W. M. Suen, C. W. Wong, K. Young, J. Math. Phys. 42, 4802 (2001)

[35] P. A. Sanchez, J. M. Romero, M. Bellini, Eur.Phys.J.C71, 1526 (2011)

[36] T. K. Jana, P. Roy, Mod. Phys. Lett. A23, 10 (2008)

[37] Yi Ling, Hong-bao Zhang, Phys. Rev.D68, 101501 (2003)

[38] R. Argurio, F. Dehouck, L. Houart, Phys. Rev. D79, 125001 (2009)

[39] V. K. Oikonomou, Mod. Phys. Lett. A25, 2611 (2010)

[40] V. K. Oikonomou, arXiv: 1104.3241, General Relativity and Gravitation in Press

[41] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B249, 557 (1985)

[42] "The Dirac Equation", Bernd Thaller, Springer 1992

[43] "Supersymmetric Methods in Quantum and Statistical Physics", G. Junker, Springer, 1996

[44] M. Combescure, F. Gieres, M. Kibler, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37, 10385 (2004)

[45] Francisco Correa, Vit Jakubsky, Luis-Miguel Nieto, Mikhail S. Plyushchay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 030403 (2008)

[46] Francisco Correa, V. Jakubsky, Mikhail S Plyushchay, J. Phys. A41, 485303 (2008)

[47] Mikhail S. Plyushchay, Adrian Arancibia, Luis-Miguel Nieto, Phys. Rev. D 83, 065025 (2011)

[48] Allen, G., Andersson, N., Kokkotas, K.D., and Schutz, B.F., Phys. Rev. D, 58, 124012, (1998).

[49] Hughes, S. A., arXiv:0711.0188

[50] Jadhav, M. M. and Burko, L. M., Astrophys. J. 698, 562 (2009)

[51] Punturo, M. et al., Class. Quant. Grav. 27 084007 (2010)

[52] Fryer, C. L., Holz, D. E. and Hughes, S. A., Astrophys. J. 565, 430 (2002)

[53] Berti, E., Cardoso, V. and Starinets, A. O., Class. Quant. Grav. 26, 163001 (2009)

[54] Newman, E. and Penrose, R., J. Math. Phys. 3, 566 (1962)

[55] "Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis", J. Jost, Universitext, Springer, 2005

[56] "Geometry and Physics", J. Jost, Springer, Berlin 2009

[57] "Geometry, Topology and Physics", M. Nakahara, Graduate Student Series in Physics, IOP Publishing, 1990

[58] T. Eguchi, P. B. Gilkey, and A. J. Hanson, Phys. Rept. 66, 213 (1980)

[59] J. B. McLeod, Q. J. Math (1962) 13 (1): 129-133

[60] G. W. Gibbons, R. E. Kallosh, Phys. Rev. D51, 2839 (1995)